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IT HAS BEEN SAID THAT THE FIRST CASUALTY IN A WAR IS THE TRUTH. This was proven yet again during the two World Wars, and in the 200 or so conflicts in the latter half of this century in which the superpowers were involved.

The vilification of Germany and defamation of its people can be traced to April 8, 1904 when Britain and France, who had been bitter rivals for centuries, did an about face when they signed their Entente Cordiale, a "friendly understanding" by which they settled their colonial rivalries in order to lay the groundwork for a war against a resurgent Germany, a long time friend and supporter of England. A similar pact was signed with Czarist Russia on August 31, 1907. This Triple Alliance completed the encirclement of Germany by the three mightiest nations on earth and sealed its fate.

Two great wars have been fought by kindred peoples in the belief that they were fighting for a noble cause. In the Allied countries people believed that their way of life was threatened and the Germans were convinced that their very survival was at stake. People in the West who have been subjected to a lifetime of vicious anti-German propaganda that they actually believed the most ludicrous fabrications, may be shocked to learn that Germany as portrayed by the vicious propaganda mills of the West and Hollywood never existed on German soil.

The old adage that there are two sides to every story does not seem to apply anymore. Hopefully this modest pamphlet, although primarily aimed at Germany's betrayed youth, will help open the eyes of people in the English-speaking world who have also been duped by their media.

Only when ignorance and mistrust are replaced by openness, truth and justice will there be hope for permanent peace on earth.
To paraphrase the Bible: "And you shall know the truth, and the truth shall set you free of misconceptions" Frank Schmidt

Preface

The first half of this century was marked by the materialization of diabolical plans to annihilate Germany. The interplay of divergent centrifugal forces made the two stage destruction (1914-1918 & 1939-1945) of Europe's center possible. In doing so the destroyers and land grabbers also succeeded in branding the victims of their aggression as the "guilty ones".

Germany has been the tempting target of rapacious neighbours for centuries who unscrupulously gave free rein to their lust for conquest in Germany. But only at the close of the nineteenth century, and at the beginning of the twentieth, after the technical revolution had created advanced means of communication on a global scale did the deliberate defamation and demonisation of the German people begin in earnest, parallel to the planning for the occupation of our country. In this manner the hitherto universal esteem and renown of the German people was stigmatised in order to disqualify us as the nation of "poets and thinkers".

The criminal intentions of these villainous international warmongers were largely covered up by a new compliant media, and these were in fact passed off as a "blessing for humanity". In the light of this, the people of the world were obviously not overly concerned when the British and French committed horrendous crimes in their colonial empires, or, when the Russians and Americans relentlessly expanded their territories at the expense of the native peoples. All of them worked together and openly divulged their intention to destroy the long-established natural order in Europe and to divide the spoils.

However, as soon as we Germans reacted to the imperialist craving for even more German territory by building up an effective defence system, or dared to ask for the return of ancient German lands we had lost through military incursions, we suddenly became "disturbers of the peace", "militarists", or "chauvinists". Not so the plunderers, who, in total disregard of international law and human rights had callously severed German territory from the body of the country and forced millions of our people to become subjects of hostile foreign powers against their will.

The interval between World War One to the present time is a prime example of the Allies' disregard for natural historical borders, as well as one-sidedness and lies with which the vanquished have had to contend.

With the "unconditional surrender" of 1945 the Allies enforced total control over the lives of the German people. The educational system in particular, as well the opinion-forming mass media have been, and are today, in the service of the victors, who, through psychological indoctrination have sought to instil a permanent guilt complex and an eternal readiness for atonement in us. With this trick they sought to impose their new order on Europe for all time. In a manner of speaking, through "self-renouncement" in order to annul our right to self-determination.
After the total collapse of the Communist economy and social structure in Eastern Europe, the status quo was again destroyed on the old continent. This made the reunion of at least the greater part of our country possible.

To be sure, the fundamental change in the make-up Europe, once again brought on a veritable orgy of hatred for the Germans in the foreign press, especially in the West. Even during the last minutes before the actual reunion the all-powerful representatives of foreign interests tried to prevent the reunion of our country by spreading the time-tested fairy tales of "the evil Germans".

A new beginning in Europe and permanent peace on our long suffering continent can only be achieved when we Europeans meet on common ground without prejudices and with guaranteed equal rights.

This booklet should not only provide a basis for discussion among our youth, it should also provide the groundwork for analytical debate in foreign countries and should also help to dispel inherited social burdens, clear away ancient prejudices, as well as help explore a balanced view of history as a prerequisite for a just future.

Wolfgang Juchem

Germany from 1871 to 1918
Chapter 1
The Roots of European Fraternal Hatred

Discord and hatred have deep roots among the peoples of Europe. These roots, when carefully examined reveal that they were implanted solely by a small but powerful leadership elite. The only concern of these people has always been to increase their power, wealth and influence. They regarded their subject peoples merely as objects of exploitation.

The natural make-up of the ethno-Germanic communal social order, once spread throughout the greater part of Europe, permitted the freedom to choose leaders from among the most courageous and loyal among the people. That social structure disintegrated with the advance of Christianity. This Oriental-Jewish religion made its triumphal advance through Europe after the Great Migration of Peoples in Europe (Brought about by the Asiatic Huns who were driven out of China and ravaged Europe from 375 to 476 A.D. Transl. note). The often forcible Christianisation not only destroyed the established order, it also created an artificial polarization and power centres which sowed the seed of a millennium of misunderstanding and antagonism.

Even after the Great Migrations the racially related Germanic tribes inhabited the greater part of Europe. However, the thrust of the two new religions originating in Rome and Byzantine Constantinople, as well as the French language which was evolving from Latin, tore deep ruts in the cultural development of our continent.

Power hungry ecclesiastical and temporal princes succeeded in breaking up the blood bonds among Germanic and other kindred peoples. And yes, even to turn these into irreconcilable enemies who were hostile to each other. Central Europe, and especially Germany, were particularly hard hit by this undesirable trend. The Empire (The Holy Roman Empire of the German Nation) and the Papacy were constant rivals. Regional rulers based their (often changing) oath of allegiance to the Empire on personal advantages they hoped to attain.

Given this situation it was easy for outside influences to endanger the stability of Europe, and finally to destroy it.

Martin Luther's (necessary) Reformation, the Thirty Years War and French aggression shook the territorial integrity of Germany. Even when the Turks stood at the gates of Vienna and the entire Christian West was threatened, the Empire was stabbed in the back by French conquerors who forced their way into (undefended) Alsace and the Palatinate and annexed vast regions of ancient German territory. One hundred years later French troops under Napoleon again criss-crossed German territory and robbed, plundered and torched their way across the country. With the forced abdication of Emperor Francis II in Vienna (August 6, 1806) through Napoleon, the formal end of the (thousand year old) Holy Roman Empire was achieved through foreign pressure.

The allied European powers finally defeated the French conquerors in the Battle of the Nations at Leipzig in October 1813 and at Waterloo in June 1815. At the peace conference known as the Congress of Vienna the German princes didn't have the power nor the high-mindedness to demand the return of the lost territories in the West, such as Alsace-Lorraine, Luxembourg and the Netherlands. The dream of our people for a united Germany would only be realized in the final third of the 19th Century.

There was yet another attempt by France to extend its eastern borders along the entire length of the Rhine by declaring war on Prussia and the North-German Union on July 19, 1870. This time the great Prince Otto von Bismarck was finally able to rally the German states to put up a mutual
defence front, which made it possible to drive the eternal aggressor (France) to retreat behind legitimate borders.

After the glorious victory over France the Second German Reich was established in the Grand Dining Room of the Palace of Versailles on the 18th of January 1871. Actually this only partly fulfilled the yearning of our people, because many millions of Germans living on the perimeter of our country could still not be incorporated into the Reich. Still, Bismarck's unification laid the groundwork for a meteoric rise in the economic as well as the social sphere in Germany. Even today, after more than 100 years, Bismarck's social legislation has not been equalled in most of the states on this planet.

Unfortunately, it is part of the unique tragedy of our people that it was these very social betterments and the great upward industrial evolution after 1871, that generated an alarming degree of envy and jealousy across our borders.

Even in past centuries Germany never lacked enemies. Now a new wave of hatred and intense rage was unleashed against Germany, which overshadowed everything that had gone on before. What we call the First World War today was the culmination of a chain of preparations for war which began long before 1914. The unconcealed military encirclement of Germany and preparation for its destruction were openly propagated. The mainspring for a military showdown in Europe were Germany's mighty neighbours: • France for the re-conquest of the ancient German lands of Alsace-Lorraine • Great Britain for the destruction of the competitive German industrial complex • Russia for the realization of its far-reaching pan-Slavic ambitions.

Even the Holy See which otherwise preached "Peace on Earth" dreamt of Germany's demise. The hatred for our people had been deeply ingrained in Rome since Martin Luther's Reformation. At an international pilgrimage on January 18, 1874 Pope Pius IX declared; "Bismarck is the serpent in the paradise of mankind. Through this serpent the German people have been misled to believe that they are more than God himself. This self-aggrandizement will be followed by a humbling which no people has ever experienced. This Empire, like the Tower of Babel, was raised in defiance of God, and will to the glory of God, pass into oblivion ..." This, mind you, was spoken in January 1874, on the third anniversary of the founding of the second German Reich.

In 1848, at the pan-Slavic Congress in Prague a quarter of a century before the outbreak of the Franco-Prussian War, a proposal was put forward that "over the next hundred years the Germans should be wiped out east of the Stettin-Oder-Neisse-Triest line". Today's historians can only marvel at how precisely these plans were carried out a century later.

The expulsion of 13 million Germans from their ancestral homelands, along with the bestial mass slaughter of more than two million refugees, was not only the greatest crime of World War Two, or even one of the greatest in the history of the world, it was the culmination of a plot hatched more than a century ago.

Whoever wishes to ferret out the truth of this European conflict must first delve into the underbrush of anti-German propaganda. This is especially applicable to the study of the prior history of the Second World War. The hypothesis of Germany's "sole guilt" is an intentional ploy of the victors to divert blame from their own guilt.
Chapter 2
Falsified History as The basis for The Continuation of
The War by Other Means

WITH THE INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL IN NUREMBERG the
Allies created an instrument to ordain their very transparent lies with judicial
legitimacy. To this day our (German) politicians, teachers and media hacks - all of
whom are lackeys of the victors - like to cite the judgements of the Nuremberg Tribunal, which
was nothing more than an illegal show trial of vindictive victors against their vanquished wartime
opponent.

During the proceedings on July 26, 1946 even the Chief Prosecutor, Robert H. Jackson, openly
stated at the Nuremberg Tribunal: "This military tribunal is the continuation of the war effort of

Whoever perceives the judgements at Nuremberg, and subsequent trials based on them to be
"just", betrays the fact, perhaps unwittingly, that he or she belongs to the pitiful victims of
reeducation which Allied propagandists and their German stooges have produced by the millions.
The majority of the post-war generation in Germany have by now become parrot-like willing
recapitulations of historical Allied lies. They do not even realize that they are thereby destroying
our own future. The hidden agenda of the German haters has been in the past, and is today, to
blackmail our people as long as possible in order to make them subservient to foreign interests.
An article in the well-known German daily Die Welt published in the fall of 1986 directly hits
the nail on the head with this statement: "As the present 'guilt' generation' has by now become
politically impotent and will soon die out attempts are being made to inject a guilt complex into
their grandchildren and great-grandchildren". This is intended to make them more receptive to
physical and psychological blackmail" They base this on the effects of neurosis, and neurotics
often turn into manic depressives. It is incumbent upon all of us to counter this self-destructive
mood and return to a normalized concept of our history.

Historical events and their correlationship can only be understood by those who already have a
grasp of the specific historical background. Those who eliminate the period from 1933 from the
overall portrayal of verifiable historical occurrences, have already committed a cheap, but very
dangerous distortion of history.

This booklet is especially aimed at our betrayed youth. It should give them a basis for their
orientation and, we hope, unassailable argumentation against the mendacious Mafia of anti-
German atrocity propagandists.

The specialists in "psychological warfare" evolved their plans for the final subjugation of
Germany long before 1945. Their atrocity propaganda which had been tested in World War I
had proven to be very effective and was to play a decisive role in World War II. Every lie, every
disgraceful reach into a bag of dirty tricks was allowed. That was openly admitted by the Chief
British propagandists and inciter of hatred, Sefton Delmer (See Heinr. Härtle: Freispruch für
Deutschland, Page 113).

Till the end of the war the German people, almost without exception, were still immune to the
barrage of enemy disinformation with which the Allies poisoned world opinion. Coupled with
massive economic blackmail, this mendacious propaganda caused most of the world's nations
to declare war on Germany. These included states (some in South America) with which our
country had traditionally been bound by especially friendly relations.
There was therefore hardly any protest after the war when the victorious powers translated their true war aims into reality. In their so-called Atlantic Charter they had hypocritically assured the world that after the war their "new order" would be based on a people's right to self-determination. Territorial adjustments would ostensibly only be undertaken with the consent of the affected population. Yes, every national group could of course choose its own form of government. The shadowy details that leaked out after the Allied conferences at Tehran (Nov. 28 to Dec 1, 1943 and Yalta (Feb. 4 to 11, 1945) became a shocking reality after the capitulation of the German Armed Forces.

On the program of the - oh, so democratic - enemy states of the West and on the banner of the Red Army stood:

- The partitioning of the German Reich into a number of zones of occupation.
- The total severance (from Germany) and plunder of our eastern provinces.
- The arrest and physical liquidation of the entire top German leadership.
- The recruitment and deportation of millions of Germans for forced labor.
- The exploitation of our German soldiers as a work force for the Allies till up to 10 years after the war.
- The theft of all our patents worth billions of Marks.
- The dismantling, occupation and exploitation of our factories and mine installations.
- And, the changing of the German national character called "reeducation of a criminal people".

In the light of the increasing East-West tensions during the "cold war" - and the very real possibility of conflict between NATO and the Warsaw Pact forces at that time, the planned extermination of all Germans was dropped, because the Germans had been assigned by both sides to play a leading role as "cannon fodder". The main concern of the victorious allied powers has been, and is today, the systematic concealment of their guilt for the war and their war crimes. Those who had hoped that with the increasing time interval of events during the war, a normalization of the historiography of the war would take place. They were, however, bitterly disappointed with the divisive manner history was taught by cringing historians in the Federal Republic of Germany and in the so-called German Democratic Republic. Furthermore, after the alleged re-attainment of our full sovereignty following our reunification all factions of Bonn politicians feel they have a special responsibility to disseminate the mendacious interpretation of what the victors claim to be indisputable and binding truths.

And, this in spite of the fact that members of the German Parliament well knew what the one time US-publicist and radio commentator Walter Lippmann wrote in regard to this subject just after the war: "Only when the war propaganda of the victors is entered into the history books of the vanquished, and this is also believed by succeeding generations, only then will our reeducation have succeeded."

This underscores the comments of a high ranking French officer of the "Bureau Centre Espionage" made in a discussion with the world-renowned German jurist Prof. Dr. Friedrich Grimm at the Hotel Bayerischer Hof in Lindau, at that time a jail for prominent Germans. When the professor asked the officer: "Now that the war is over will your atrocity propaganda also cease?", the Frenchman answered: "No, no, now it will begin in earnest. We will continue with
it year-in and year-out. We will intensify it until the last spark of sympathy for the Germans has been eradicated and the German people themselves will be so confused that they will no longer know who they are and what they are doing!"

The effect of this unending flow of enemy propaganda has manifested itself in today's Germany. As if it were self-evident and normal, today Germans of all ages and occupational groups tend to unilaterally blame our wartime generation as being guilty of committing war crimes, while at the same time they hail the most brutal and sadistic allied murder bands as "liberators". It is no exaggeration when we attribute this attitude to an acute mental illness.

The reeducation of our people as willing tools who parrot the enemy line, has meanwhile taken on dimensions of alarming proportions that are without parallel in the entire history of mankind. The perfectly camouflaged diversion of the guilt of the Allies and the continuing one-sided political incrimination of the defeated German people could only succeed when the whole educational system and the print media came under the control of the victors. That German teachers, journalists and political lackeys took part in the defamation of their own people is one of the greatest riddles of our time. These people must have known that the one-sided presentation of our history as interpreted by the victorious powers could do no harm to a bygone system, but will destroy our chances of having a normal future.

When one reflects upon the fact that justice is indivisible it becomes evident that a crime is also a crime when committed by the victors. Even now I have misgivings about the magnitude of future wars, when one considers the lesson of 1945, i.e. that in war everything is permissible, as long as you are not defeated!

Do we Germans finally have the courage to tear up the veil of lies which surrounds us?

Only the truth will set us free!

Czechoslovakia was created by the Allies after WWI for their own purposes, against the wishes of the majority of its people. The Czechs (black area) ruthlessly suppressed the legitimate aims of the other peoples. In 1938/39 the country was split up between Germany, Poland, Slovakia and later the Soviet Union. It was resurrected in 1945 by the Allies who sanctioned the expulsion of 3,5 million Sudeten Germans from their hereditary homeland. Czechoslovakia collapsed from within recently when Slovakia opted for independence.
Chapter 3
Versailles: Cradle of The European Catastrophe

The road from the First World War to the flare-up after 1939 is very straight. Or, in other words both wars together constitute the "Thirty Years War" of the 20th century, as Winston Churchill himself has admitted.

As the German troops, trusting in the peace offer of American president Wilson (and his 14 points) laid down their arms in the fall of 1918, the rape and exploitation of our people began without the existence of a National Socialist movement, nor the so-called "power grab" of January 30, 1933 having taken place.

The megalomania of the victorious powers was crowned by the perverse treaties of Versailles and St. Germain, which were the primary cause for the turn of events which led to the Second World War. Today, all serious historians concur on this point.

It is therefore well to remember how the German haters in France, Great Britain, Poland, Italy and other countries exhibited such a boundless bloodlust at the peace table at Versailles, that even the American president left in anger and later withheld any American signature on the so-called Versailles Peace Treaty.

The deeply humiliating and offensive behaviour toward the German delegation was an affront that was unknown in diplomacy to that date.

We remember that Article 231 forced Germany (at gunpoint so to speak) to admit sole responsibility for the outbreak of the war. As a consequence the ancient German territories of Alsace-Lorraine, Danzig, Posen and West Prussia, the Memel region, Eupen and Malmedy and the Hultschiner Ländchen were torn from our country - a huge area with a total population of about a million inhabitants.

We also remember that Italy which had a mutual aid agreement with Austria and Germany changed sides and was rewarded with South Tyrol (German since the third century. Transl. note) for its treachery.

And, we also remember that for the first time in the history of the world a state called "Czechoslovakia" was created (by the Allies) and that 3.7 million Germans were incorporated into that artificial state which otherwise had no chance of survival.

We must also remember that Poland owes its rebirth (in 1916) as a state exclusively to the effort of the German soldier who fought against Czarist Russia. For more than a hundred years Poland had been a part of the Russian Empire. It was Germany who in the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk gained Poland's freedom from Russia, as well as that of Finland and the Baltic States. For all that, how did Poland thank Germany for that support?

Not long after, in the fall of 1918, when the German troops in the West were forced to capitulate, Warsaw saw an unexpected opportunity to expand its territory. Armed Polish bands and regular troops suddenly broke into German territory and occupied lands that had been torn from the German Reich and awarded to the new Polish state by the Treaty of Versailles (This despite a plebiscite in which the majority of the population had opted for Germany. Transl. note).

The city of Danzig was declared a "Free City" without the consent of its people, 99% of whom were German. Poland was granted special rights in this ancient German city, and was preparing to annex the whole region.
To mollify the world, a plebiscite was held in Upper Silesia. When the result showed that a clear majority had opted for Germany. Here, too, despite the plebiscite Poland went ahead and annexed the industrial region of this German province.

Even with these acquisitions our eastern neighbour was still not satisfied. As so often in the past Polish chauvinists exhibited alarmingly hostile tendencies. After the October Revolution (in Russia) in 1920 while the civil war between the Reds and the Whites was still in progress the Polish army suddenly burst into the western territories of Russia and annexed huge Ukrainian and White Russian lands east of the Curzon line.

We should be reminded that at every opportunity (German) TV commentators are sympathetic to Polish transgressions. They tell us that after World War II Poland had lost a large part of its territory in the east to the Soviets and that indemnification through the loss of our eastern provinces to Poland was therefore somehow justified. Of course they cover up the fact that Poland had acquired these same territories by force only twenty years before. Exactly this course of action should be a reminder to us that this state of affairs can only exist if it is enforced by a proportionate power base. The politicians in Bonn and Warsaw who, during the German unification process, denigrated those who dared raise the question of the Oder-Neisse line as the permanent border between Germany and Poland as "revanchists" should be reminded of the foregoing.

But, back to the border swindle of 1918/1919. Not only in the USA, but also in London and Paris, voices were raised against the shameful Treaty of Versailles and the fact that the land grab in the East had violated the rights of millions upon millions of Germans, and this would sooner or later lead to the next war.

Even at the beginning of the negotiations in Versailles Walter Rathenau (a member of the German Reichstag) wrote "Resolutions are being tabled at this time that will determine the fate of mankind for centuries. What we are threatened with is our destruction ..."

The Social Democratic political leader Philipp Scheidemann in a speech to the German National Assembly on May 12, 1919, said: "What hand would not wither that fetters us in this manner...? This treaty is so unacceptable, that I cannot believe that the world would tolerate such a fraud on this day without millions of people in every country calling out in a resounding voice: 'Away with this murderous plan!"

Even British Prime Minister Lloyd George recognized the danger which had its roots in Versailles; "The injustice and arrogance which we displayed in our hour of triumph will never be forgotten nor forgiven. I cannot imagine a more compelling reason for a future war. The German people have without any doubt shown themselves to be one of the most energetic and mighty races on earth. They will now be surrounded by a number of smaller states made up of peoples who have never before been able to form a stable government. Besides, these states also include masses of Germans who will clamour for reunification with the mother country. The proposal of the Polish commission to put 2,100,000 Germans under the control of a people with another religion, which never in the course of its history has shown the capability to govern itself, in my opinion this can only lead to another war in Eastern Europe."

Soon after the signing of the shameful "peace" treaty, French premier George Clemenceau assured the cadets at the Military Academy of St. Cyr: "My young friends, do not worry about your military future. The peace we have just signed assures you that there will be ten years of conflicts in Europe."

These few examples clearly show that the politicians on the opposing side knew very well what harm they caused with their "peace treaty".
With massive pressure, the threat of a military occupation of Germany - and yes, the threat of a continuing hunger blockade, they forced the German delegates to sign the "peace" treaty. No honest jurist in the world would concede that a signature under duress was legally binding.

Winston Churchill even had the gall to declare in the House of Commons on March 3rd, 1919: "We will energetically continue the blockade ... Germany is very close to starving to death ... The time has come to negotiate". This, mind you, was said long after the end of hostilities.

Besides the territorial losses, the German people were economically burdened on a scale no people on earth had hitherto ever been subjected to. You can read about the details in every history book that deals with the subject. Our country was gagged, dishonoured and shut out as an economic competitor. In 1919 the Times of London wrote: "Should Germany begin to trade in the next 50 years, we shall have fought the war for nothing".

The astronomical reparation demands of the victorious powers were intended to lead to the total collapse of the economy of the German Reich and to drive the political status of the country into complete chaos. The newly established democratic Weimar Republic had indeed little chance of survival. One chancellor superseded another in quick succession. On the average governments lasted a full six months. In this chaos there arose on the extreme left the dehumanising Communist Party of Germany which was subservient to Moscow and on the extreme right the National Socialist German Workers Party (The Nazis), this at a time when the democratic parties lost power, influence, conviction and credibility.

The Weimar Republic did not break down, as our enemies never cease to claim, because we do not understand democracy. It collapsed because the German people had been robbed of their livelihood, their dignity and were forced into abject poverty. With more than 6 million unemployed they lost their trust in the ever changing governments, and wanted nothing more than peace, order and economic recovery. The 30th of January 1933 marked the end of a democracy which from the very beginning the victorious powers gave no chance of survival.

If our young generation of today feels it has to criticize their fathers and grandfathers, they should bear in mind that it was precisely the young generation of a former time that opted for a new way out between the evils of Communism on one hand and the dehumanising tendencies of monopolistic capitalism on the other.

The Weimar Republic collapsed because the German people had been robbed of their livelihood and dignity, and because they had been plunged into the bitterest poverty. Six million were unemployed and if the current government must criticize their fathers and grandfathers, they should bear in mind that it was the youth of that time that was inspired to opt for a new idea: A way out of the inhuman Soviet Communism on one side and contempt for the soul-destructive capitalism on the other.

In our affluent throw-away society it is very easy to be arrogant and smug and slander the past generation with the asinine comment: "This could never have happened to us."

Only those who take the trouble to inform themselves of the misery of those years will understand that the vast majority were more than willing to trade their "democratic rights" for work and bread.

After the First World War by the terms Treaties of Versailles and St. Germain Germany was forced to give up territory (in black) to the neighbouring states of Poland, Lithuania, Italy, France, Czechoslovakia, The Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes, Belgium and Denmark.

See Map on next page
Chapter 4
Germany's Resurgence after 1933 and its Consequences

COUNTLESS BOOKS AND MANY MOVIES WERE PRODUCED ABOUT THE THIRTIES. These were invariably written to create the impression that after Hitler's election the primary concern in Germany was rearmament, plans for world conquest, and repressive measures against political opponents. Anyone who earnestly takes the trouble to ascertain the truth cannot deny the fact that both the domestic and foreign affairs of the Third Reich were basically shaped by events that prevailed throughout the world at the time, and by the danger posed by Moscow's fifth column in Germany.

The Third Reich was the outcome of very specific political and economic developments after our military defeat in 1918. In order to mollify misunderstanding, I wish to stress again that today no reasonable person would advocate the resurrection of National Socialism, not even those who seek to portray that period in our history objectively, i.e., contrary to that of the official opinion-forming industry.

Unlike the Western powers, Adolf Hitler and other leading politicians of the Third Reich had realized the threat that Communism with its contempt for human values and systematic extermination of its opponents posed for our ancient continent. Today, no one would dispute the fact that European history in general would have taken a totally different course had the Marxist-Leninist October Revolution (in Russia) not succeeded.

The time is not yet ripe for an objective assessment of the role Germany played as a bulwark in the defence of Europe. In the meantime "glasnost" and "perestroika" have opened our eyes so we may recognize the destructive force of Communism.
Our Western neighbours should be cognizant of the fact that the Red Army and political functionaries that followed in its wake would have created the same deplorable conditions in their own countries, that the peoples of Eastern and Southeastern Europe have had to endure. The German Wehrmacht saved them from that fate!

The current curriculum in our schools is still based on the theory acceptable to the Allies, i.e. that Germany rearmed in order to assault other nations. One day that theory will be relegated to the scrap heap of history, along with the fallacious doctrine of Communism.

One can easily discredit the slogan about "German sabre rattling" by pointing to the military situation in Europe after World War II. Never before in history had so many well-armed foreign troops faced each other. On German soil alone about one million troops were stationed on both sides of the Iron Curtain. If, from the standpoint of the Western Powers, it was imperative to mobilize such a huge army against the "evil Russians" on one side, and on the other to keep six million trained troops under arms to guard against the "evil Western Powers", how much more justifiable was the moderate arms build-up in Germany after 1933 when our country was threatened on all sides with annihilation?

We remember how a chorus of hatred was struck up immediately after Hitler's assumption of power and how dark secretive forces pressured governments throughout the world into a united front against Germany. As early as March 1933 the World Jewish Congress was the first to publicly declare war against our country. The international wire-pullers openly called for the annihilation of Germany at their congresses in London, Moscow, and Geneva.

We also remember the call to turn Germany into a desert just like it was after the Thirty Years War.

The hypocritical thesis of a "necessary crusade against the dictatorship" was put forward. However, what they really meant was the elimination of Germany as a competitor in the global marketplace. It was also their intention to force Germany into the corrupt Wall Street global economic system. Warmongering and boycotts against the new German Reich inevitable did not fail to have an impact in Berlin, nor to elicit a response.

It is part of the peculiar tragedy of our history, that every attempt to unify our country immediately produced a world of enemies. Enemies, who strove to subordinate everything to their lust for personal and financial power, even at the cost of millions of lives. Historians have proven long ago that this was no different after 1933.

The staggering building program promised and initiated by the National Socialist government, the elimination of mass unemployment and the most important act of unification in our history made peace, and only peace, mandatory.

From 1933 onward maintenance of peace was the main concern of German politics. The gradual military build-up was in no way a contradiction of the desire for peace. We cannot overlook the fact that all around Germany millions of hostile troops were under arms, and the fact that Germany was permitted only 100,000 soldiers and heavy weaponry was absolutely prohibited by the Treaty of Versailles.

The Government of the Reich made countless proposals for disarmament that went as far as the total renunciation of arms in Europe. However, today we are not supposed to know anything about that.

Only after many attempts at an even-handed demilitarisation of Europe evoked no response from Germany's well-armed neighbours, and the incitement to hatred against Germany had intensified, did Germany also introduce compulsory military service. A sober look at Germany's tiny 100,000
man army and the huge military build-up of Germany's enemies would have led any conscientious German statesman to the same conclusion.

The speech by Britain's wartime prime minister given in the House of Commons on Nov. 29, 1934 aptly describes the pressing situation of the time: "The signatory powers of the Treaty of Versailles solemnly promised the Germans that their nations would disarm after Germany had done so. Germany has waited fourteen years for our compliance with that promise. Is it any wonder then that the Germans have been driven to revolt against the duplicity of the great powers?"

Such quotations are of course expunged from the history lessons taught in today's Germany. Likewise, no one is supposed to know of the Naval Agreement signed in 1935 between Britain and Germany which was proof of Germany's understanding of British fears. Germany voluntarily agreed to limit its naval strength to 35% of Great Britain's. This was intended to negate distrust of Germany which was being fomented in England, and eventually to lead to a better understanding and good neighbourliness between the two countries.

In regard to France, after centuries of hostility a turning point was brought about when the German Reich formally relinquished all claims to the ancient German provinces of Alsace and Lorraine in order to bring about a reconciliation between the French and German peoples.

The claim that the "warlike Germans" and the government of the Reich were "bent on World Conquest" are both fallacious and have no basis in fact. The Government of the Reich wanted a peaceful, not a military solution to the conditions imposed by the Treaty of Versailles.

Whoever accuses Adolf Hitler of having repeatedly violated the conditions of the Treaty of Versailles conceals the fact that this shameful treaty was forcibly signed, in essence with a pistol held against the chest of the German delegation - and it was therefore from the very beginning legally null and void!

To all those critics hostile to Germany, the following sections may serve as a remedial "history lesson".

**German-Austria**

If mentioned at all in our history classes, the amalgamation of Austria with the rest of Germany - in complete distortion of historical fact - is invariably referred to as a "surprise attack" or "annexation" by Germany. Our young people are not allowed to know that in March 1938 an event took place that is nothing more, or less, than the realization of the right to self-determination for German-Austria.

Just after the end of World War I, on November 12, 1918, the Austrian National Assembly, in accord with the expressed wish of the populace, resolved to merge with Germany and adopted the name German-Austria. The name, the merger, and even the plebiscite to resolve the matter were bluntly forbidden by the victors of World War I. In spite of the foregoing, in 1920-1921, such a plebiscite was held in the States of Tyrol, Salzburg, Styria and Carinthia. The result: Even at that time 99% of the population opted for reunion with Germany. This shows with frightening clarity how mendacious and hypocritical the Western democracies were when on one hand they preached self-determination for all peoples and on the other hand they denied this to the largest national group in Central Europe.

**Sudetenland**

The case in regard to the Sudetenland was similar. After the First World War, some 3.7 million Sudeten-Germans were forced against their will to be included in the newly created state of
"Czechoslovakia", which the Czechs from the very beginning considered their own, even though they made up a minority of the population. Aside from the Sudeten-Germans, millions of Slovaks, Hungarians, Poles, and Ruthenians (Ukrainians) had also been forced into this newly created artificial state. The persecution and oppression of the Sudeten-Germans, as well as the other minorities had to endure in two decades of Czech dominance, cannot be adequately described in this booklet.

In the Munich Agreement in the fall of 1938 nothing was taken from the Czechs that legitimately belonged to them. Moreover, it partly redressed the injustice of the Treaty of Versailles and restored the right of self-determination to the Sudeten-Germans.

**Protectorate of Bohemian and Moravia**

For the victors of World War I, the establishment of the Protectorate of Bohemia and Moravia was, in a manner of speaking, a propaganda windfall, proof positive of Germany's "desire for war". To anyone familiar with the historical background of the region it is obvious that the objective historian must adhere to different standards than the propagandists of the victors and their henchmen.

After the Sudeten-Germans, the Poles and the Hungarians had opted out of Czechoslovakia, the remaining Czech rump state was no longer viable as an independent nation. This was abundantly clear to the creators of this artificial state when twenty years before they unscrupulously forced millions of people against their will into a state that was not of their choosing. A state, that according to the French Minister of Aviation was created to serve as a runway for (Allied) bombers in a future war. At the beginning of 1939 internal unrest, strikes and mass arrests had turned the Czech rump state into a veritable witches cauldron.

On March 6th the Czech president - in violation of existing treaties - arbitrarily deposed the provincial Ruthenian government, and three days later the Slovakian government. A state of emergency was declared in Slovakia and the Slovak Prime Minister was ordered arrested and Slovak politicians fled to Germany. In Bratislava, on the 14th of March the unlawfully deposed Prime Minister Dr. Tiso declared Slovakia's independence with the unanimous support of all members of parliament.

As a result the British government lost no time in declaring that since Czechoslovakia no longer existed its guarantee of Czech borders was no longer valid. On the same day, March 14, the Czech president Dr. Hacha phoned Berlin and urgently requested a conference with Hitler. During the night of 14-15th March he was received at the Anhalt railway station (in Berlin) with full military honors. That night in the Reich Chancellery Czech President Dr. Hacha declared that in view of recent developments he would put the fate of his country in the Fuehrer's hands, secure in the knowledge that it would be in safekeeping. The members of the Czech parliament, summoned to a meeting in Prague by telephone declared their consent to the establishment of the Protectorate of Bohemia and Moravia and directed that there should be no resistance to the arrival of German troops.

Thus, the ancient lands of Bohemia and Moravia returned to the fold of the German Reich to which they had belonged for almost a thousand years. Hitler agreed to the continued existence of the Czech government and granted it a considerable degree of independence under its president Dr. Hacha.

An occupation, i.e. a military occupation against the will of the Prague government did not take place until thirty years later when Soviet troops marched in on August 20, 1968.
Poland

The Polish theft of the "Corridor", West Prussia, Posen and Eastern Upper Silesia was to become of particular significance for peace in Europe, or rather the lack of it. This was a case in point where the Versailles spoliators of nations inflicted deep wounds on the German people, which none of the parties in the Weimar Republic were ready to accept. 1.1 million Germans were placed under the rule of a chauvinistic Polish government which wasted no time in making it known that it intended to transform former German lands into "Polish soil".

With unprecedented cruelty the Poles tried to eradicate all things German. It turns one's stomach to look at photos of the horribly mutilated bodies, who became victims of the unbridled hatred of gangs of Polish murderers. Methods such as these were intended to drive the Germans from territory that had been their homeland for centuries in order to transfer it to Polish ownership.

In a manner of speaking, as background music to their evil deeds, in the 1930's Polish politicians sang a song of praise because they had so horrified the German population that a million of them had left the country in disgust.

The League of Nations issued several severe reprimands and warnings to Poland. As a result, Poland served notice that it would terminate its cooperation with the League on the implementation of the "Treaty for the Protection of Minorities" and completely disregarded all German and international protests.

At the same time, Poland's demand for the occupation of Danzig and East Prussia became ever more insistent, and public proclamations in Poland demanded that the Oder-Neisse-Line should become Poland's western border. All this, despite the fact that vast stretches of Germany's eastern territory had only twenty years earlier been occupied by Poland.

When Britain's infamous guarantee (of support) was given to Poland on March 31, 1939 the hatred and warmongering in Poland intensified. From his sick bed in 1946 Winston Churchill said of the British guarantee that: "March 31, 1939 marked the actual beginning of World War II". Prior to the war countless German families in Poland spent the nights in the woods where they felt safest from roving Polish bands of murderers.

Battle cries such as "Off to Berlin". "We will take Danzig back home to Poland" and songs like "Marching from Cracow to Berlin" or "Our enemy the German must fall . ." echoed through the streets of cities and villages of Poland.

Hundreds of thousands of Germans fled across the border into Germany where they were cared for in makeshift shelters in Silesia and Pomerania.

Ominously, in the summer of 1939, the Polish Commander-in-Chief, Rydz-Smigly declared "Poland wants war and Germany will not be able to prevent it!" Perhaps by admitting this he was recalling Winston Churchill's words of 1936: "We will force the war on Hitler whether he wants it or not."

What must have gone on in the minds of these warmongers who paved the way to war with all the dirty tricks at their disposal, while at the same time deliberately provoking Germany to fire the first shot to brand it as the "aggressor" and the "guilty one" in the eyes of the world.

A few years earlier an even more heavy-handed attempt to start a war was attempted by Poland. From 1933 to 1936 Polish politicians repeatedly visited Paris to convince the French to launch a war of aggression against Germany. And, this at a time when the German leadership through repeated acts of generosity let it be known that it sought a conciliatory settlement with Poland!
The aim of German politics was not the destruction of Poland. Our country consistently opted for the preservation of a strong Polish state. In a manner of speaking as a buffer zone between Germany and the Communist Soviet Union.

This was the reason why Adolf Hitler had become the first German statesman ever to grant Poland access to the sea by giving up a strip of German territory that had unjustly been awarded to Poland by the victors. What he asked for in exchange was the return of the purely German city of Danzig and a land connection to East Prussia.

Even after the German-Soviet Treaty of August 23, 1939, the Government of the Reich attempted desperately to preserve peace! A plebiscite conducted under international control was to ascertain the facts concerning the "Corridor". Could there have been a more just solution?

After the British guarantee the Poles did not even consider an agreement with Germany because they wanted a war in order to fulfil their "great power" plans by extending their border to the Oder-Neisse-Line with the aid of the Western powers.

The Polish ambassador to Berlin was instructed not to enter into any serious discussions and on August 30 the Warsaw government ordered a general mobilization of its armed forces. The weeks prior to this were marked by constant Polish provocation and border violations. Then, as if the foregoing excesses had not been enough, the German consul in Cracow was murdered.

Then the lights went out in Europe

Less well known is the fact that just a few hours earlier at midnight (before Germany had declared war) the Polish state radio in a state of euphoria, announced that the Polish army was already on its triumphal march to Berlin and would be in the capital of the Reich in a week, ... and, that all along the front the Germans were in disorderly retreat.

Thus together with countless border violations by the Poles over the previous months, this radio broadcast was proof that the German Chancellor correctly formulated his speech to the Reichstag in the morning of September 1, 1939 when he began with: "As of 5:45 a.m. we are going to shoot back".

Even those who reject military intervention to solve conflicts and condemn the German march into Poland "as an act of aggression in violation of international law" will have to admit that neither France nor Britain - and least of all the United States - would have been as tolerant as the Government of the Reich if they were in a similar situation. These same world powers have proven this often enough in the recent past.

The truth about who actually started the Second World War was revealed by Winston Churchill himself, when, in the fall of 1939 in a speech in the House of Commons stated: "This war is a British war, and its goal is the destruction of Germany."

Those who still do not know where the real warmongers were emplaced and, in contrast, where there was an attempt to extinguish the torch of war before it set all of Europe ablaze, the following documented evidence will hopefully open their eyes.

In the evening of September 2, 1939 the German Foreign Minister von Ribbentrop - authorized by the Chancellor of the German Reich - contacted the German Legation in London and instructed Dr. Fritz Hesse, the Councillor of the Legation, to go to his acquaintances in the British ruling circles and inform them that the German Reich was willing to put an immediate stop to the Polish Campaign, and even to pay reparations for damage already caused: If London would agree to act as mediator in the conflict between Poland and Germany, and if the ancient German city of Danzig would be returned to the Reich. The British, however, had no intention of preventing the
war. (as was later proved by Rudolf Hess' peace mission to Britain) because at long last they had the desired excuse to declare war on Germany (together with France), which actually happened on the very next day, Sept. 3, 1939. (see Fritz Hess: Das Vorspiel zum Kriege (Prelude to War) p. 183187). V Brief Comments on the Events of the War).

The German Reich in 1939 when Austria, the Sudetenland the Memel District, as well as Bohemia and Moravia were again incorporated into the German Reich as they had been for a thousand years.

Chapter 5
Brief Remarks About Wartime Events

THE WAY IN WHICH EVENTS BETWEEN 1939 TO 1945 have been purposely portrayed has not changed to this day. Current books and movies give out the impression that the Second World War has not come to an end. The interminable atrocity propaganda that's even being prolonged by so-called "German" television channels which purchase and broadcast products of Soviet and American hate-mongers, is intended to create the impression that for six years brutish German soldiers strode through Europe bent only on murder and plunder. The campaign of lies and slander has not only hurt the German image abroad, but has also drawn a deep gap between the generations of our people, who have no idea how much they have been deceived in the past decades. They know nothing of the actual causes that led to the Second World War, nor the truth about events that occurred during the war. The conception they have of the war was imparted to them by Germany's respective opponents. If the battles on the eastern front were proportionately more brutal than those in the West, one should remember what the dehumanised Soldateska did in Poland and Russia, the "Bloody Sunday" in Bromberg (now Bydgoszcz in Poland) as well as the atrocities of the Partisans and Red Army soldiers.

Our Wehrmacht stands high above the self-righteous moral indignation of the victorious powers. For almost six years it held its own on land, sea and in the air against overwhelming odds. The
achievements and conduct of the German soldier will one day receive the recognition and honour he deserves. One day, history will accord all our heroes - first and foremost the utterly courageous Colonel Rudel - a fitting place of honour that is their due. They fought and died in a war that began with the German-Polish conflict, and due to the other side escalated into the Second World War.

Therefore, the Western politicians who deliberately provoked Germans into military intervention in Poland, and then rejected every German peace initiative bear most of the blame for the Second World War!

The guilty conscience of our wartime opponents was particularly evident in the inhuman treatment of Rudolf Hess, the Fuehrer's deputy received on his peace mission to Britain. This man, who with his spectacular flight to Britain on May 8, 1941 to inform the people of the world, and especially the British people, of Germany's true intentions, paid for his mission of peace with lifelong imprisonment, even though he had been expressly acquitted of "war crimes" and "crimes against humanity" at the Nuremberg Tribunal. The British government's hysterical fear that details of the Hess peace mission might become public after all, is also the reason why documents pertaining to this matter are kept under lock and key in the London archives, classified "Top Secret" until the year 2017!

When Rudolf Hess died in solitary confinement at the venerable age of 93 in the Allied prison in Berlin-Spandau, physicians, jurists and historians alike were unanimous in their assumption: That Hess had been murdered by the British secret service because Soviet president Mikhail Gorbachev had intended to call for his release, which the British government sought to prevent at all costs. His mission for the cause of peace was destined to fail because our enemies did not desire peace, but rather the destruction of the status quo in Central Europe. No one is more deserving of the Nobel Peace Prize than Rudolf Hess! His closing words at the Nuremberg Tribunal reveal the immortal greatness of the man: "If I now stood at the beginning of my career, I would act again as I did in the past even if I knew that what awaited me was a stake at which I would be burnt alive, no matter what men may do to me; the day will come when I will stand before the judgement seat of the Almighty, to Him I shall give an account of my actions - and he shall pronounce me innocent!"

Chapter 6
Questions regarding the "Holocaust"

AT THE CONFERENCE OF SECRETARIES OF THE INTERIOR OF THE GERMAN LÄNDER (STATES) IN 1959, it was suggested that an official federal investigation should be undertaken to ascertain the true number of victims that perished in the concentration camps of the Third Reich. Several months later the Federal Secretary of State, Dr. Gerhard Schröder, replied: "There are superordinate political considerations which render it advisable to distance ourselves from this intention!" (Deutsche Wochenzeitung No. 1/79 p.7). To this day, widely divergent numbers of victims of the "Holocaust" have appeared in history books around the globe. Zionist Jews in particular are concerned that no one should doubt their claim of "6 million murdered Jews". To dispel any doubt about this claim a "requiem to honor the dead" was held in Israel in 1989. This event is unique to date. Over a span of weeks the names of 6 million Jews, allegedly victims of the "Holocaust" were publicly read out loud. To any serious historian this ceremony must have seemed to be a last futile attempt to establish this figure as authoritative, because scientists and researchers - including Jewish ones - have long ago arrived at completely different numbers.

In this regard pseudo-humanistic voices immediately raised the objection that it doesn't matter whether six million or three hundred thousand Jews were murdered in the "Holocaust", it is in any case the greatest crime committed in the history of mankind. Their argument is therefore
not convincing because every normal person would be happy when it became evident that 5.7 million fewer Jews perished than had previously been claimed.

We are constantly being reminded by our own and foreign politicians, as well as compliant media pundits, that we should not balance alleged German crimes against the foul deeds of the Allies, because the German crimes were "unique in history". That is assuredly one of the greatest lies of our times!

The crimes of Stalinist Communists overshadow everything in order of magnitude - even if we accept the outrageous exaggerations that have been levelled against National Socialist Germany. The number of our civil casualties, the victims of murderous terror bombing, and two million murdered refugees, far exceed the actual number of Jewish losses.

If this were not so the German Minister of State would have carried out the investigation to determine the actual number of deaths in German concentration camps, as he was requested to do by the Länder in 1959. His cop-out, "super-ordinate considerations", was in fact a cowardly knuckling-under to the numbers game jugglers in the well-known anti-German hate mills.

To prevent any misunderstanding I wish to state emphatically that we deeply regret the death of every innocent individual who died in a concentration camp. We cannot, however, be expected to lament the many so-called "murder victims" who, decades after the Second World War, live comfortable lives in Israel or America, often under assumed names.

In any case, we rejoice every time an official report exposes the fact that the numbers formerly claimed to have perished were in fact fraudulent, horror-movie exaggerations, in other words wartime atrocity propaganda. This applies to the exposure of the gas chamber lies of Dachau, Bergen-Belsen and Buchenwald no less than the removal of the 38 memorial plaques at Auschwitz, which for decades had stated that four million victims had perished at Auschwitz.

Again: If it should turn out that during the war some 100,000 deaths occurred in the concentration camps then this is no less a terribly shameful fact which deeply moves every decent German person, regardless of the reason or manner in which these people lost their lives.

However, it is doubtful today whether Englishmen or Americans are ashamed of the mass murder they committed from the sky, considering that Great Britain has recently - a half century after his crimes - honoured their "Bomber Harris" with a larger than life statue.

Let no one accuse us of attempting to "trivialize" National Socialist crimes...! As I have repeated again and again, every real victim is one too many!

In contrast to the contention of the anti-German atrocity propagandists, however, we Germans who have remained true to our people, are definitely of the opinion that it is only normal and honourable for a German to demand the truth and nothing but the truth about this chapter of European history.

It has long been apparent that the "exaggeration factor" is the decisive psychological cudgel when it comes to branding and plundering the German people for all time.

A German government should actually be duty bound to gratefully welcome any factual circumstance that tends to exonerate us. And yes, it should even conduct an ongoing search for the truth in order to deflect further harm from our people. Instead, it persecutes the truth seekers - on whose orders one may ask - with hateful resolve and criminal proceedings, throttles scientific investigation and willingly serves as lackey for those who regard the German people merely as objects of exploitation, or, as they say "the goose that lays the golden egg".
An ancient proverb that rhymes in German, states in effect "A proven liar is not to be believed even when he speaks the truth". And, so it is with the "Holocaust", so many claims in this regard have been exposed as utter lies over the years, that any sane person will treat further allegation on this complex subject with a question mark.

In the meantime, in the U.S.A. and Canada in particular, but also in Great Britain and France, notable scientists have been engaged in throwing some light into an abyss of darkness. Whereas in Germany hardly anyone but Prof. Udo Walendy has dared to conduct precise scientific investigations into the "Holocaust" and to publicize the results, as has been painstakingly done in foreign countries.

Personalities such as Professor Faurisson, Dr. Dommerque Polacco and Prof. Rassinier of France, (who died in 1967) have become champions of historical truth, no less than the Americans, Prof. Butz, Prof. Hoggan, historian Mark Weber, and many others. The British historian David Irving found irrefutable proof in the London archives that the infamous gas chambers of Auschwitz, Treblinka and Majdanek were the brainchild of the British war-time propaganda apparatus. (Psychological Warfare Executive. "The Guardian" London/Manchester June 20, 1989).

To date, the absolute high point in the presentation of evidence to refute the existence of mass murder installations in concentration camps by means of gas chambers was a multi-national task force which the truth-seeking German-Canadian Ernst Zündel of Toronto, Canada, dispatched to Auschwitz. Samples of brick taken from buildings alleged to have been "gas chambers" for the mass killing of human beings as well as from facilities which actually did exist at the time for the disinfection of uniforms and other kinds of clothing by means of exposure to hydrocyanic acid (Zyklon B) were subjected to precise chemical analysis in a highly reputable laboratory in the United States. The analysis disclosed that samples taken from rooms allegedly used for the mass extermination of human beings contained no traces of the tell-tale hydrocyanic compound, or at best minuscule amounts of it. Whereas samples taken from chambers used for the disinfection of clothing contained large amounts of this chemical.

The Polish Institutes "Ekspertyz Sadowych/Prof. Jana Sehna" of Cracow has since confirmed these findings in a report of its own dated September 24, 1990.

To the American gas chamber expert Fred A. Leuchter, who headed the team of experts at Auschwitz, it is clear that not only the chemical analysis by its very nature, but also the structural aspects of the facilities in question show beyond any doubt that the facilities allegedly used for mass gassings of human beings at the inspected sites could never have been used for this purpose.

Although, the Leuchter Report has been acknowledged to be a "sound scientific work" by the (German) Federal Minister of Justice, the federal government has still not even thought of appointing an independent commission to scientifically examine the complexities arising out of Auschwitz and other camps.

Quite the contrary, even in criminal trials the state bases its judgement on the state of affairs which are deemed "self-evident" and therefore need no further investigation. At the same time, however, no court has ever produced any evidence whatsoever for the alleged gassing of concentration camp inmates!

Jews around the globe ought to be no less eager to see this matter scientifically investigated. In fact they in particular ought to demand an objective establishment of the truth. That is, if they themselves are convinced of the veracity of their own claims!

In any case the attempted murder of Professor Faurisson (in France) and other similar terrorist attacks on truth-seeking scholars and scientists will in no way lend crediblity to their anti-German rantings.
On the contrary, even criminal court cases (in Germany) have proceeded on the assumption that the circumstances of the case were self-evident, there was no need for further investigation. Therefore, every court has been guilty of ignoring the factual evidence of the alleged gassings in concentration camps.

With his letter of June 2, 1988, the now deceased Catholic priest Viktor Robert Knirsch hit the nail directly on the head: "Truth is always calm. Lies clamor for earthly judgement".

As long as mountainous stacks of documents classified as "top secret" are kept under lock and key in the archives of our former opponents to which access is denied to researchers and historians we may therefore assume that in regard to the question of "war guilt" and the "Holocaust", there may yet be many surprises in store that are favourable to Germany.

Anyone who desires true reconciliation between the affected peoples and therefore a stable and lasting peace, should demand that the documents that have been withheld from public scrutiny be released, not decades from now, but today.

During the immediate post-war years there were probably understandable reasons to put up with false accusations against Germany without criticism. However, someday, the post-war generations will ask what Nahum Goldmann, the long-time president of the World Jewish Council, meant when he wrote: "The Jews live on exaggeration". (See the magazine "Quick" No. 35/79 p. 101).

In any case, the contradictions inherent in the accounts of the so-called "genocide of the Jews" are so detrimental that no one should overlook them. In addition to the scientific research already mentioned, the following details are offered at the end of this chapter for serious contemplation.

1. After the war, countless inmates of Auschwitz testified that during their imprisonment they saw or heard nothing of any "gassings".

2. Alleged eye-witness for the prosecution were later found guilty in court of making false statements under oath i.e. of perjury.

3. During the course of the Auschwitz trial in Cracow (see newsreel "Welt im Film" of January 8, 1948) the court spoke of "nearly three hundred thousand persons from many nations" who allegedly died in Auschwitz. Not one word about "gassings".

4. For decades a memorial tablet was on display in Auschwitz Block II, Hall 3, which alluded to some "340,000 victims who died in Auschwitz and other camps".

5. By far the greater part of those who perished is said to have occurred in concentration camps were victims of typhus and typhus fever epidemics. This conclusion is also shared by Jewish scientists and researchers.

6. Reports of mass deaths at Auschwitz-Birkenau following the typhoid epidemic of 1942 prompted Himmler to issue an order on December 28, 1942 to "reduce the death toll in concentration camps at all costs". This order is clearly in contradiction to the alleged "plans for extermination".

7. The considerably higher number of estimated victims of Auschwitz has been espoused in certain circles for decades. This figure has now officially reduced from 4 million to between 1 to 1.5 million. The four million figure is alleged to have come from Rudolf Hoess, the first commandant of the Auschwitz concentration camp.
But, as we know, he was forced to make this statement after enduring agonizing torture.
8. The French-Jewish scholar Dr. Dommerque de Menasque estimated that the total number of Jewish losses in the concentration camps of World War Two at between 200,000 and 300,000 people. In other words less than 5% of the alleged six million!

These few allusions are only a small fraction of the catalogue of doubts which have arisen to date. This more than suffices to render the standard claims advanced in schools and the mass media as absolutely suspect. This does not even take into account the way in which the vicious spiral of German-Jewish confrontation was set in motion.

Basically, until the end of World War One, the relationship of the Jews to the German state, and vice versa, was more or less free of controversy, because the Jews felt safer in Germany than almost anywhere else in the world. From 1914 to 1918 many German-Jewish soldiers did their duty as bravely as anyone else and some received high decorations.

The anti-Jewish - falsely dubbed anti-Semitic - stipulations of National Socialist programs were, in fact, a slap in the face for Jews who were loyal to the German state. The Zionist Movement which operates throughout the world, took these measures as a crucial back-up in their efforts to attain their own goals.

That is why Zionist stoked the fires of confrontation between the Reich Government and the Jews internationally. That is something which we are not supposed to know today. The Zionists were convinced that only if their co-religionists were segregated in their host countries would they be interested in settling in Palestine. They even welcomed the Nuremberg Laws because they put wind into the sails of Zionist aspirations.

As early as 1932, before the so-called power grab by Hitler, Bernard Lecache, President of the World Jewish League, announced that for Jews Germany was "Enemy No. 1. on which we will declare a war without mercy". Accordingly, soon after January 20, 1933 (when Hitler was elected) there followed an unprecedented campaign of incitement to hatred and warmongering against the new Germany. These hate-filled machinations soon had the desired effect in the U.S.A., Great Britain and France.

This mendacious hate propaganda soon took on such outrageous proportions, that even Jewish organizations in Germany sharply protested against it. They made it abundantly clear time and again that even after the change of government on January 30, 1933, that the Jews of Germany on the whole were being treated correctly.

Nevertheless World Jewry officially declared war on the German Reich as early as March 1933. (see public declaration of war on page 1 of the London newspaper "Daily Express", and by means of large posters in New York).

On December 1, 1934 the Russian Jewish newspaper Nasha Retch wrote "The war against Germany is being carried out by all Jewish communities, conferences and congresses, as well as each individual Jew. Our interests demand that Germany be destroyed once and for all...!"

The Jewish newspaper Youngstown Jewish Times of Ohio informed its readers in its April 16, 1936 issue that "...after the next war there will no longer be a Germany".

A long article in the Jewish publication The American Hebrew of July 3, 1938 contained, among other things, the following announcement "These three sons of Israel (French Premier Leon Blum, Soviet Secretary of State Litvinov, and the British Minister of War, Hore-Belisha) will unite to send the mad Nazi dictator to hell ... Then the Jews will call out Hallelujah ... and Europe will have been sent to destruction".
Many historians are convinced the assassination of Wilhelm Gustloff, the head of the National Socialist Foreign Organization in Switzerland by the Jew David Frankfurter on February 4, 1936, and of Ernst vom Rath, the German Diplomatic Secretary in Paris on November 7, 1938 by the Jew Herschel Grünspan were planned by the Zionist Central Leadership; in order to further aggravate the already tense situation in Central Europe even further to provoke a German counter-reaction.

Even today it is not indisputably clear who the actual wire-pullers of the Reich Crystal Night were. In any case, claims that the Reich government was responsible are untenable because it is well-known that Adolf Hitler reacted to reports of the events by issuing strict orders the same night that the excesses were to be stopped immediately. The SA, the SS and the police were given clear instructions for the protection of the Jewish population. (See Ingrid Weckert's "Feuerzeichen" p. 264).

Only a few days before the outbreak of the Second World War, while the Reich government was still trying to avert the catastrophe by making spectacular peace offers and concessions, Chaim Weizmann the President of the World Zionist Organization assured the British Government that in the "Battle for Democracy" the Jews would subordinate themselves to the British Government and would fight on the side of Great Britain... (Aug. 28, 1939).

These few examples should prove without any doubt, that ever since the beginning of the thirties sinister influential background forces who controlled the levers of power, especially in Great Britain and the United States, did their best to drive Europe into yet another catastrophic war. Wall Street, New York's banking centre dominated as it was by Jewish Zionists, had picked National Socialist Germany as the object of its implacable hatred for another reason: Germany extricated itself from the Gold Standard, the International money system that had exploited whole nations. The new German Government had put an end to the fraudulent stock market spectacle in which not a few speculators in the U.S.A. and Great Britain who had counted on making a quick fortune at the expense of German working people. Germany's return to the Gold Standard was therefore one of the foremost war aims of the Anglo-Americans (see the British Magazine "Tomorrow" the 6/1947 issue).

That is why William Bullitt, the American ambassador in Paris, who was "in the know" was able to tell American journalist Weigand as early as April 25, 1939: "The war in Europe is already agreed upon". (See E. Maier-Dorn "Allein-Kriegsschuld" p. 148).

And, when the time had finally arrived, and the German-Polish conflict began on September 1, 1939, and was followed on September 3, 1939 by the British/French declaration of war against Germany, it also triggered the beginning of the Second World War. This prompted the British Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain to confess to Joseph P. Kennedy, the American Ambassador in London: "The Americans and World Jewry pushed us into the war!"

The Jews of today, whether they live in Israel, Europe or America, should realistically and objectively examine their own hidden motives and respective correlationship of their actions. The conclusion they cannot help but draw would be that the catastrophe of 1939-1945 - and especially the suffering of the Jews - could have been avoided if Zionist war mongers and their accomplices had not had totally different goals in mind.

The mandatory wearing of the Star of David (as of September 1941) and the evacuation of the Jewish population into concentration camps (Wannsee Conference of January 1942) began after the publication of the Notorious Nathan Kaufman Plan in the U.S.A (which advocated the total eradication of the German people) and after the big-mouthed claims of British and American politicians, who claimed that with the help of the Jewish population (in Germany) it would be possible to spy on the Germans and to carry out acts of sabotage on a grand scale.
Nathan Kaufman was not just some insignificant Jew. He was the president of the American "Peace League" and was a member of the "Roosevelt Brains Trust", a staff of politicians who served as advisors to the American president. This is the hateful individual who conceived the plan to wipe out the German people by means of castration and sterilization. His book "Germany must perish" appeared months before Germany and the U.S.A. were in a state of war.

At this point we are again confronted with the timeworn argument that we are attempting to "trivialize" the crimes of the Nazis, i.e. to relativize them.

As in every phase of history an objective assessment of the facts is only possible if we do not mix up the cause and the effect.

The tragedy of the Jews is so closely linked with the tragedy of the German people in the first half of the Twentieth Century, that the post-war generation on both sides ought to demand that at long last an end be put to incitement to hatred, lies and reciprocal accusations.

The future of both peoples cannot be shaped by empty words, but only by truth and justice.

Epilogue

The unification of West and Central Germany (purposefully called "East Germany" by the compliant Western media. Transl. note) once again wrought a fundamental change on our ancient continent. What many of us no longer thought possible literally became a glorious reality almost overnight in the fall of 1989.

The murderous border that ran through the heart of our country and through the middle of our old capital suddenly lost its terror. And this, despite the fact that Bonn politicians and Moscow's subservient SED-thugs in East Berlin contributed practically nothing to this development. All our federal governments since Konrad Adenauer's term in office, were well aware of the fact that our Western "allies" would have liked nothing better than to maintain the partition of Germany forever. Nothing was more sacrosanct to them than to march shoulder to shoulder with Washington, London and Paris.

When our Central German countrymen in Leipzig, Dresden, East Berlin and many other cities of the so-called German Democratic Republic, with their impressive mass demonstrations, and finally the mass breakout from the Communist prison, forced a change in Germany's unfortunate situation, the Bonn politicians could only react with utter perplexity.

But even in this phase of our development, our so-called people's representatives proved that they had learned their lessons well. Almost as from a prayer wheel we heard from the mouth of our Federal Chancellor - who has since had no objection to being called "Chancellor of Unity" that we Germans did not want to follow "a separate route", and also did not wish to return to a national state as in former times, and that Germany would naturally take its place in "the community of Western values", whatever that means.

In order to supply our neighbour in the East, and in the West, with additional proof "the reformed attitude of the Germans", both the Federal Government and the Opposition assured the world that Germany had not the slightest intention of undermining the Oder-Neisse-Line, and that the "western border" of Poland would not be questioned by the Germans. With their practiced unity of purpose, politicians and the media joined to malign the voices of patriots and those of us who were expelled from ancestral German homelands by calling us "radical right wingers" and "revanchists".
That which representatives of all parties would have called "traitorous" only a few years ago, suddenly became reality in the hour of truth. Practically overnight, in the vernacular of politicians, the press, radio and television, what had been Central Germany had suddenly become "East Germany". That's how low our nation has sunk at the end of the 20th century!

Our regard for the achievements and sacrifices of our ancestors who developed the German lands beyond the Oder and Neisse rivers in the course of centuries, ought to dictate that we will never accept the theft of these regions and our expulsion from them!

The betrayal of East Germany (now in Poland) and the conduct almost simultaneously displayed by our Federal Government during the Gulf War, which it supported to the tune of no less than 20 billion Mark in tax funds, clearly shows that they do not primarily regard themselves as "representatives of the people", but rather as willing lackeys of the strategists of the New World Order in Washington, London, Paris and Jerusalem. The shameful conduct of our politicians can only be explained by their one-sided, and yes, utterly erroneous view of the historical events in pre-1945 Europe. Like all persons who have been brainwashed, these politicians cannot comprehend that they are victims of anti-German indoctrination. With friendly smiles they offer their services to the wire-pullers of reeducation, and at heart probably believe that they are doing good in the process. However, these World Order strategists never had the good of the German people in mind. For decades they have been implementing a concept that gives them the opportunity to extend their power into the innermost affairs of other nations, in order to exploit them for their own parasitic goals.

Although they constantly crow about "freedom" and "democracy" those who aspire to the New World Order no longer have anything to do with democracy.

If democracy really meant "government by the people", then first and foremost the people themselves must have a say in their domestic order, and no less in their foreign affairs.

Supranational egalitarianism means the loss of freedom and democracy, and benefits only those unscrupulous internationalists to whom peoples and nations mean nothing, and their own power and influence means everything. Only a determined revolt by a naturally aware and loyal people can still fore the long term plans of the destroyers of nations whose realization, with the help of multicultural infiltration strategists gets closer year by year.

Those who want to preserve Germany as the land of the Germans and the continent of Europe for Europeans, must therefore finally muster the courage to unmask these world prisoners.

For decades these dark forces have profited handsomely from the schism between Germany's East and West and we should nip any further tendencies in that direction in the bud. As of October 3, 1990, the semi-united Germany has allegedly become "a fully sovereign nation". This obligates all of us to finally find our way back to a normalization of our historical outlook, because only the historical truth shall set us free!

This booklet is intended to shed some light on the search for truth, in the hope that someday our German youth will again honourably and self-confidently defend the right to live of our multiple-betrayed and much maligned German people!
World War II comparison of Greater Germany's land area, natural resources and population with that of Britain, France, the Soviet Union and the USA. Source DSZ-Verlag
German territory awarded to Poland in 1918 and 1945 superimposed on the map of today's Federal Republic of Germany. After the First World War this was done in total disregard of plebiscites to the contrary and in the aftermath of the Second World War through the brutal expulsion and murder of the native German population.
The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli’s website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.
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CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

It's the book of the RACE

"For out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the Word of the Lord from Jerusalem" (Isaiah 2:3)."